

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
7 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

8 \* \* \*

9 HOWARD LEE WHITE,

10 Plaintiff,

11 v.

12 ISIDRO BACA, *et al.*,

13 Defendants.

Case No. 3:15-cv-00573-MMD-WGC

ORDER ACCEPTING AND ADOPTING  
REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION OF  
MAGISTRATE JUDGE  
WILLIAM G. COBB

14 Before the Court is the Report and Recommendation of United States Magistrate  
15 Judge William G. Cobb (ECF No. 50) ("R&R") relating to Plaintiff's Motion for a  
16 Preliminary Injunction ("Motion") (ECF No. 23). Plaintiff had until September 13, 2017, to  
17 object to the R&R. (ECF No. 50.) To date, no objection to the R&R has been filed.


18 This Court "may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or  
19 recommendations made by the magistrate judge." 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Where a party  
20 timely objects to a magistrate judge's report and recommendation, then the court is  
21 required to "make a *de novo* determination of those portions of the [report and  
22 recommendation] to which objection is made." 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Where a party fails  
23 to object, however, the court is not required to conduct "any review at all . . . of any issue  
24 that is not the subject of an objection." *Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140, 149 (1985).  
25 Indeed, the Ninth Circuit has recognized that a district court is not required to review a  
26 magistrate judge's report and recommendation where no objections have been filed. See  
27 *United States v. Reyna-Tapia*, 328 F.3d 1114 (9th Cir. 2003) (disregarding the standard  
28 of review employed by the district court when reviewing a report and recommendation to

1 which no objections were made); see also *Schmidt v. Johnstone*, 263 F. Supp. 2d 1219,  
2 1226 (D. Ariz. 2003) (reading the Ninth Circuit's decision in *Reyna-Tapia* as adopting the  
3 view that district courts are not required to review "any issue that is not the subject of an  
4 objection."). Thus, if there is no objection to a magistrate judge's recommendation, then  
5 the court may accept the recommendation without review. See, e.g., *Johnstone*, 263 F.  
6 Supp. 2d at 1226 (accepting, without review, a magistrate judge's recommendation to  
7 which no objection was filed).

8 Nevertheless, this Court finds it appropriate to engage in a *de novo* review to  
9 determine whether to adopt Magistrate Judge Cobb's R&R. The Magistrate Judge  
10 recommends denying Plaintiff's. Upon reviewing the R&R and the briefs relating to  
11 Plaintiff's Motion, this Court agrees with the Magistrate Judge and therefore adopts the  
12 R&R in full.

13 It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed that the Report and  
14 Recommendation of Magistrate Judge William G. Cobb (ECF No. 50) is accepted and  
15 adopted in its entirety. Plaintiff's motion for preliminary injunction (ECF No. 23) is denied.

16 DATED THIS 23<sup>rd</sup> day of October 2017.

17  
18   
19 \_\_\_\_\_  
20 MIRANDA M. DU  
21 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28